

VARIATION IN *MANTIDACTYLUS MADECASSUS* MILLOT & GUIBÉ, 1950, A  
LITTLE KNOWN MALAGASY FROG, WITH RESURRECTION OF  
*MANTIDACTYLUS PAULIANI* GUIBÉ, 1974

MIGUEL VENCES<sup>1</sup> AND FRANK GLAW<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Zoologisches Forschungsinstitut und Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Zoologische Staatssammlung, Münchhausenstr. 21, D-81247 München, Germany

Based on morphological differences, specimens currently attributed to the Malagasy montane frog species *Mantidactylus madecassus* can be divided into two distinct groups, which correspond to the geographically separated populations from the mountain massifs of Ankaratra and Andringitra. The Ankaratra populations differ from the Andringitra populations by the lack of distinctly bilobed subarticular tubercles on the fingers; more extended webbing between the toes; and a less contrasting dorsal colour pattern. Furthermore, they are distinguished morphometrically. The name *Mantidactylus pauliani* Guibé, 1974 is available for the Ankaratra specimens and is hereby resurrected. A lectotype of *M. madecassus* is designated. The two species share a lack of vomerine teeth and both possess a very short snout, and should be considered as closely related allopatric sister taxa. So far as is known, they occur between 1500 and 2500 m altitude (mainly above 2000 m), in brooks and their tributaries in areas of ericoid vegetation or of rock formations with rupicolous plant communities. A short review of Malagasy montane amphibian species is provided, confirming that montane habitat in Madagascar harbours an important diversity of species specialized to high-altitudes.

*Key words:* Anura, Ranidae, Mantellinae, *Bryoomantis*, montane herpetofauna, Madagascar

INTRODUCTION

Madagascar contains a rich diversity of habitat types, mainly due to the variety of climates. The eastern rainforest belt is separated from the western arid regions by a high plateau on which special montane ecosystems are found. The three highest massifs are Tsaratanana in the north (2876 m), and Ankaratra (2642 m) and Andringitra (2658 m) in central Madagascar. The Malagasy montane herpetofauna is known from extensive collections, harboured mainly in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN), Paris, but basic information on the biology and ecology of most species is still lacking.

Recently, Raxworthy & Nussbaum (1996a) reviewed the montane amphibian and reptile communities of the Malagasy massifs of Andringitra, Ankaratra, and Tsaratanana, based on their own surveys. They found that a relatively large number of species are restricted to the montane heathland, and rejected the hypothesis that this habitat is artificial and faunistically depauperate. In another publication (Raxworthy & Nussbaum, 1996b), the same authors emphasized the similarities in the montane herpetofauna between the Ankaratra and Andringitra massifs.

One frog species so far known only from high altitudes of these two massifs is *Mantidactylus madecassus*

(see Millot & Guibé, 1950; Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc, 1991), which was not collected in the survey of Raxworthy & Nussbaum (1996b), and thus not included in the list of montane amphibians from Madagascar published by Raxworthy & Nussbaum (1996a).

*M. madecassus* is a representative of the most speciose and heterogeneous anuran genus in Madagascar. According to the most recent descriptions (Vences *et al.*, 1997; Glaw & Vences, 1997; Andreone *et al.*, 1998), the endemic genus *Mantidactylus* currently contains 63 described species classified into 12 subgenera (Dubois, 1992; Glaw & Vences, 1994). *M. madecassus* is included in the subgenus *Bryoomantis* Dubois, 1992 (formerly *Mantidactylus ulcerosus* group) which currently consists of seven valid species (Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc, 1991; Glaw & Vences, 1994). *Bryoomantis* are distinguished from representatives of other subgenera of *Mantidactylus* by a derived karyotype (chromosome number  $2n = 24$ , see Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc, 1991; not known in *M. madecassus*) and a combination of femoral gland structure (glands including a prominent rounded structure with external median depression, rudimentary glands present in females), sexual dimorphism in tympanum size (males having a larger tympanum than females), slightly distensible single subgular vocal sac in males, slightly enlarged finger and toe discs, semiaquatic and partly diurnal habits, tadpoles with generalized mouthparts and distinct spiral-shaped intestine visible through ventral skin, and advertisement call structure (series of pulsed calls with low intensity).

*Correspondence:* M. Vences, Zoologisches Forschungsinstitut und Museum Alexander Koenig, Adenauerallee 160, D-53113 Bonn, Germany. *E-mail:* m.vences@link-lev.de

In the course of our ongoing revisions of the frog genera of Madagascar, we examined the type material of *Mantidactylus madecassus* and of its junior synonym *M. pauliani*. In the present paper, we give a detailed re-description of both taxa and revalidate *M. pauliani* based on several morphological and morphometric differences. We also provide an updated list of montane amphibians of Madagascar, and a comparison between the herpetofaunas of Andringitra and Ankaratra.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following morphological measurements were taken with a calliper to the nearest 0.1 millimeter: SVL (snout-vent length), HW (head width), HL (head length), ED (horizontal eye diameter), END (eye-nostril distance), NSD (nostril-snout tip distance), NND (nostril-nostril distance), TD (tympanum diameter), HAL (hand length), FORL (forelimb length), HIL (hindlimb length), FOL (foot length), FOTL (foot length including tarsus). All available specimens of the taxon *pauliani* were measured, whereas in *M. madecassus* specimens measured were selected according to their state of fixation, in order to get a sample in a comparable state to the *pauliani* specimens. All measurements were taken by the same person (MV). Institutional abbreviations are as listed in Leviton *et al.* (1985). Webbing formula follows Savage & Heyer (1967) as modified by Myers & Duellman (1982) and Savage & Heyer (1997). To facilitate comparisons with other species of *Mantidactylus*, we also give the formula used by Blommers-Schlösser (1979) and most subsequent authors who have published accounts on Madagascar anurans.

Femoral glands were examined and photographed under a stereo-microscope. Our description refers to the macroscopic appearance of the gland on the ventral femur. In a few specimens, we also carefully removed the skin of the ventral femur and turned it upside down; by this procedure, the gland structures, which remain completely attached to the skin, could be examined in more detail and could easily be distinguished from simple granular thigh patches as present in many frogs.




FIG. 1. *Mantidactylus madecassus* (ZFMK 57416 from Cuvette Boby, Andringitra) in life.

Morphometric data were processed statistically with the software package SPSS for Windows, version 6.1.2. Samples were compared for representative ratios by non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U*-tests. Data were transformed logarithmically ( $\log_{10}$ ) to render relationships between them linear. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was carried out using the  $\log_{10}$ -transformed data (three factors extracted).

#### RESULTS

##### VARIATION IN *M. MADECASSUS*

According to Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc (1991), *M. madecassus* is known from seven localities: Ankaratra, Nosiarivo, Ivangomena, Andohariana, Ambalamarovandana, and Anjavidilava. The first two localities are located in the Ankaratra massif and refer to the type series of the taxon *Mantidactylus pauliani* Guibé, 1974 (which was synonymized with *M. madecassus* by Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc, 1991), and to several ZMA specimens previously also referred to *M. pauliani* (see Blommers-Schlösser, 1979). All other localities are located in the Andringitra massif according to the map in Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc (1991), which did not include information on voucher specimens. We found MNHN vouchers for all mentioned sites except Ambalamarovandana. Several other localities are corroborated by MNHN specimens and by one ZFMK specimen (Fig. 1); all these sites are located close to each other within the Andringitra massif.

Detailed examination and direct comparison of all available specimens demonstrated that they can be classified into two distinct groups, corresponding to the Andringitra and Ankaratra samples, respectively. Differences are (a) subarticular tubercles on fingers (very prominent, mostly bilobed in Andringitra specimens, but single and indistinct in those from Ankaratra; Fig. 2); (b) webbing (more extended in Ankaratra, generally reaching the disc of the fifth toe); and (d) colouration (darker and more uniform in Ankaratra).

Additionally, distinct morphometric differences between both samples were found. Measurements of all available Ankaratra specimens and of a representative




FIG. 2. Ventral side of hands of type specimens of *M. madecassus* (MNIIN 1953.246; left) and *M. pauliani* (MNIIN 1972.1508, right). Not to scale. Note difference in shape of subarticular tubercles.

TABLE 1. Measurements (in mm) of adult specimens of *Mantidactylus madecassus* and *M. pauliani*. See Materials and Methods section for abbreviations of characters. LT = lectotype, PLT = paralectotype, HT = holotype, PT = paratype.

	Status	Sex	SVL	HW	HIL	TD	ED	END	NSD	NND	FORL	FOTL	HAL	HIL	FL
<i>M. madecassus</i>															
MNHN 1953.246	LT	F?	27.5	9.6	9.7	1.9	3.1	1.8	2.2	3.0	16.6	22.4	7.9	47.0	15.3
MNIIN 1989.3591	PLT	M?	25.4	8.0	8.6	1.6	2.2	1.7	1.8	3.0	12.7	21.3	6.2	36.1	12.0
MNHN 1989.3592	PLT	F?	31.0	9.6	10.2	2.3	3.0	1.8	2.0	3.0	16.7	21.0	7.6	43.1	14.5
MNHN 1989.3594	PLT	F?	29.2	10.6	10.3	2.9	3.2	2.0	2.2	3.3	16.3	17.2	7.7	43.7	14.7
MNHN 1972.1182	-	F	32.0	10.8	11.1	2.3	3.5	1.9	2.6	3.2	17.2	24.8	8.5	51.0	18.3
MNIIN 1972.1185	-	F	32.4	10.9	11.0	2.2	3.3	2.1	2.7	3.1	17.1	25.2	8.7	50.5	17.7
MNHN 1972.1190	-	M?	27.0	9.2	9.9	1.7	3.1	2.0	2.2	2.8	15.3	21.1	7.0	42.4	14.2
MNIIN 1972.1192	-	F	33.7	10.9	11.2	2.6	3.5	2.0	2.5	3.4	18.0	25.1	8.4	49.6	16.7
MNHN 1972.1198	-	M	27.0	9.4	9.9	2.0	3.3	1.7	2.4	3.0	15.5	20.1	7.8	45.4	15.0
MNHN 1972.1199	-	M	29.8	9.9	10.7	2.9	3.4	2.0	2.1	3.3	17.6	23.7	8.8	48.6	16.8
MNHN 1972.1204	-	F	29.3	9.5	10.0	2.0	3.1	1.8	2.2	3.3	16.2	25.3	7.7	44.7	13.1
MNHN 1972.1206	-	F	31.4	10.5	10.2	2.1	3.5	2.1	2.3	3.4	19.0	21.4	8.5	51.4	17.2
<i>M. pauliani</i>															
MNHN 1972.1508	HT	M	31.0	11.0	11.0	2.5	3.1	1.8	2.3	2.6	18.0	25.7	8.9	50.4	17.2
MNHN 1972.1509	PT	F?	29.7	11.2	11.7	2.8	3.1	1.9	2.1	3.1	17.4	24.4	9.0	47.5	17.0
MNHN 1972.1510	PT	F	33.7	11.5	10.8	2.2	4.0	1.6	2.2	3.1	18.7	24.2	8.9	48.7	17.0
MNHN 1972.1511	PT	F	31.1	10.7	10.8	2.0	3.3	1.5	2.1	2.7	17.6	23.8	8.3	47.1	16.0
MNIIN 1972.1512	PT	F?	27.7	10.0	10.5	2.2	3.4	1.5	2.1	3.0	17.5	24.2	8.7	42.1	17.0
MNHN 1972.1513	PT	F?	25.8	9.6	9.7	2.1	3.4	1.7	1.9	2.7	16.6	23.1	8.4	44.9	15.8
MNIIN 1972.1514	PT	M	29.5	11.0	11.3	2.7	3.3	1.6	2.1	3.0	17.7	24.5	9.0	47.4	16.7
MNHN 1972.1515	PT	F	32.9	10.6	11.1	2.2	3.4	1.6	2.0	3.1	18.2	23.8	9.6	49.1	16.7
MNHN 1972.1516	PT	M?	31.6	11.5	11.9	3.1	3.5	1.7	2.3	3.0	18.9	25.5	8.9	50.1	17.3
ZMA 6803 (1184)	-	F	31.6	10.1	10.4	2.0	3.4	1.4	2.3	3.0	16.5	22.1	8.1	45.5	15.6
ZMA 6803 (1185)	-	F?	27.5	9.2	9.3	2.3	3.4	1.4	1.7	3.1	15.7	21.8	7.8	42.8	15.2
ZMA 6803 (1186)	-	F?	26.9	8.8	9.2	1.6	3.2	1.4	1.8	2.7	16.0	21.4	8.6	44.8	14.7
ZMA 6803 (1187)	-	F?	24.4	8.6	9.1	1.6	3.1	1.3	1.7	2.8	15.0	20.2	7.4	41.6	14.0
ZMA 6803 (1188)	-	M?	24.8	8.8	9.1	1.8	3.2	1.5	1.8	2.7	15.4	20.5	8.0	41.1	14.0

TABLE 2. Principal component loadings (PCL) of the first three principal components from a principal component analysis of data in Table 1 (log-transformed), given separately for analyses of male and female data. The five most influential PCLs for each principal component are marked by a superscript ranking.

Variable	PCA of males			PCA of females		
	PCL1	PCL2	PCL3	PCL1	PCL2	PCL3
SVL	0.107	0.170 <sup>5</sup>	-0.077	0.094	0.093	0.122
HL	0.115 <sup>2</sup>	0.037	-0.040	0.102 <sup>4-5</sup>	0.024	0.268
HW	0.110 <sup>4-5</sup>	0.033	-0.076	0.107 <sup>1</sup>	-0.016	0.123
END	0.005	0.406 <sup>1</sup>	-0.059	0.060	0.419 <sup>1</sup>	-0.278 <sup>3</sup>
NND	0.015	0.293 <sup>3</sup>	0.547 <sup>1</sup>	0.062	0.363 <sup>2</sup>	0.292 <sup>4</sup>
NSD	0.071	0.301 <sup>2</sup>	-0.316	0.083	0.238 <sup>5</sup>	-0.623 <sup>2</sup>
ED	0.077	-0.010	0.461 <sup>2</sup>	0.085	-0.288 <sup>3</sup>	-0.106
TD	0.105	0.062	0.262 <sup>3</sup>	0.086	0.113	0.811 <sup>1</sup>
FORL	0.117 <sup>1</sup>	-0.050	0.011	0.102 <sup>4-5</sup>	-0.149	0.033
HAL	0.099	-0.214 <sup>4</sup>	0.097	0.092	-0.263 <sup>4</sup>	0.032
HIL	0.114 <sup>3</sup>	-0.001	-0.108 <sup>5</sup>	0.102	-0.029	-0.458 <sup>3</sup>
FOL	0.108	-0.118	-0.065	0.106 <sup>2-3</sup>	-0.087	-0.100
FOTL	0.110 <sup>4-5</sup>	-0.115	-0.170 <sup>4</sup>	0.106 <sup>2-3</sup>	-0.099	-0.114

sample of Andringitra specimens are given in Table 1. We performed a statistical comparison of both samples using Mann-Whitney *U*-tests on the ratios in Table 3; males and females were tested separately. Males and females from Ankaratra had relatively longer hands ( $P < 0.05$  in females;  $P < 0.1$  in males), shorter eye-nostril distances (relative to head length;  $P < 0.05$  in males;  $P < 0.001$  in females), shorter nostril-snout tip distances (relative to head length; significant only in females;  $P < 0.005$ ), and shorter nostril-nostril distances (relative to head width;  $P < 0.05$  in males and females). In both samples, relative tympanum width was larger in males than in females (ratios TD/ED and TD/SVL), but these differences were not statistically significant.

A multivariate PCA resulted in a clear separation of the two samples both in separate and combined analy-

ses of males and females. After removal of the first factor (equivalent to the size or growth effect), the second and third factors (equivalent to the most important shape factors) were plotted in Fig. 3. Both samples were mainly separated along the second principal component. The most influential loadings of the second principal component (Table 2) corresponded largely to those variables previously identified as significantly different between the samples: END, NSD, NND, HAL and SVL in males; END, NND, ED, HAL and NSD in females.

Table 3 summarizes the most important morphological and morphometric differences between the Ankaratra and Andringitra samples. A discriminant analysis using the data in Table 1 predicted correctly group membership of all specimens with  $P < 0.0001$ .




FIG. 3. Scatterplots of second and third principal components of a PCA of log-transformed data in Table 1, analyzed separately for males and females.

TABLE 3. Differential characters between *Mantidactylus madecassus* and *M. pauliani*. Variable ratios are given as mean  $\pm$  SD (minimum and maximum values in parentheses). Data were compared using Mann-Whitney *U*-Tests. Significant differences between data pairs are marked with asterisks: \*  $P < 0.05$ , \*\*  $P < 0.005$ , \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ .

Species	<i>M. madecassus</i> (Andringitra)	<i>M. pauliani</i> (Ankaratra)
SVL (males)	27.0-29.8 mm	24.8-31.6 mm
SVL (females)	25.4-33.7 mm	24.4-33.7 mm
HAL/SVL (males)	0.27 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.26-0.30)	0.30 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.28-0.32)
HAL/SVL (females)	0.26 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.24-0.29) *	0.29 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.26-0.33) *
FOTL/HIL (males)	0.48 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.45-0.50) *	0.51 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.50-0.52) *
FOTL/HIL (females)	0.49 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.47-0.50)	0.50 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.48-0.57)
END/HL (males)	0.19 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.18-0.20) *	0.15 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.14-0.17) *
END/HL (females)	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.17-0.21) ***	0.15 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.13-0.18) ***
NSD/HL (males)	0.21 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.20-0.22)	0.20 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.19-0.21)
NSD/HL (females)	0.23 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.20-0.25) **	0.19 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.18-0.22) **
NND/HL (males)	0.31 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.28-0.33) *	0.26 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.24-0.30) *
NND/HL (females)	0.31 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.28-0.35) *	0.29 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.25-0.33) *
HL/SVL (males)	0.36 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.34-0.37)	0.37 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.36-0.38)
HL/SVL (females)	0.34 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.32-0.37)	0.35 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.32-0.39)
HW/SVL (males)	0.34 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.34-0.36)	0.36 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.36-0.37)
HW/SVL (females)	0.33 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.31-0.35)	0.35 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.32-0.38)
TD/ED (males)	0.74 $\pm$ 0.17 (0.55-0.91)	0.77 $\pm$ 0.14 (0.56-0.89)
TD/ED (females)	0.67 $\pm$ 0.07 (0.60-0.77)	0.63 $\pm$ 0.11 (0.50-0.90)
Colour in preservative	mostly beige with dark markings.	mostly uniformly dark with indistinct darker markings
Subarticular finger tubercles	distinct, bilobed.	indistinct, not bilobed.
Foot webbing	ends just below disc on toe 5.	reaches disc on toe 5.

Within the genus *Mantidactylus* (and also other Malagasy anurans), there is no other example of such important morphological differences (especially in the shape of the subarticular tubercles) between populations included in a single species. Generally, even slight morphological differences between populations are paralleled by bioacoustic (see data in Glaw & Vences, 1994) or, as in the genus *Mantella*, by genetic differentiation (Vences *et al.*, 1998). Sometimes, bioacoustically well differentiated *Mantidactylus* species are even virtually indistinguishable by morphology (Glaw & Vences, 1994).

We consider the differences found between the Andringitra and Ankaratra populations attributed to *Mantidactylus madecassus* as sufficient support for a distinction at the specific level. Because the name *Mantidactylus pauliani* is available for the Ankaratra populations, we resurrect this name from the synonymy of *M. madecassus*.

#### REDESCRIPTION OF *M. MADECASSUS* AND *M. PAULIANI*

*MANTIDACTYLUS MADECASSUS* MILLOT & GUIBÉ, 1950

**Diagnosis.** A species of the genus *Mantidactylus* as indicated by the lack of nuptial pads in males and the presence of femoral glands (verified in ZFMK 57416).

A member of the subgenus *Brygoomantis* as indicated by (a) sexual dimorphism in tympanum size (males having a relatively slightly larger tympanum than females); (b) only slightly enlarged finger and toe discs; (c) well developed webbing between toes; and (d) presence of femoral glands including a rounded structure with external median depression, and of rudimentary femoral glands in females (verified in ZFMK 57416).

*M. madecassus* is distinguished from all other species of *Mantidactylus* by the presence of distinct, bilobed subarticular tubercles on the fingers (never bilobed in other *Mantidactylus*); further from all other *Mantidactylus* except *M. pauliani* by the unique head shape, with a broadly rounded, very short snout; from other species of the subgenus *Brygoomantis* except *M. pauliani* by the lack of vomerine teeth; and, additionally, from *M. betsileanus*, *M. biporus* and *M. alutus* by the great extent of the webbing between the toes. For further distinction from *Mantidactylus pauliani*, see below.

**Name bearing type.** Lectotype (hereby designated) MNHN 1953.246 (Fig. 4). Collected by J. Millot at Cirque Boby, Andringitra massif. Specimen in mediocre state of preservation. Colour patterns well preserved, dorsally beige with irregular large dark brown markings, ventrally uniformly light. Fingertips slightly enlarged. Fingers with very distinct bilobed




FIG. 4. Lectotype of *M. madecassus* (MNHN 1953.246, left) and holotype of *M. pauliani* (MNHN 1972.1508) in dorsal view.

subarticular tubercles. No femoral glands visible (possibly due to poor fixation). Webbing formula of foot: I 1<sup>-</sup>-2<sup>-</sup> II 1-2 III 1<sup>-</sup>-2<sup>-</sup> IV 2<sup>-</sup>-1 V. Webbing formula according to the notation of Blommers-Schlösser (1979): 1(0.25), 2i(0.75), 2e(0), 3i(1), 3e(0.25), 4i(1.5), 4e(1.25), 5(0). Toe 5 of same length as toe 3. Foot longer than tibia. Tibiotarsal articulation reaches the centre of the eye.

**Other types.** Eight paralectotypes (MNHN 1989.3590-3597; originally all subsumed under MNHN 1953.246) in a mediocre state of preservation, partly in worse state than the lectotype, all with the same collection dates and locality as the lectotype. The original catalogue entry reads 10 specimens under MNHN 1953.246, but only eight specimens (beside the lectotype, which retained the original number) were given new numbers in 1989. The missing specimen is probably BMNH 1950.1.2.80, which is labeled as cotype and was received in 1950 from J. Guibé. This specimen, in a better state of preservation than the MNHN specimens, must therefore also be regarded as paralectotype.

All paralectotypes agree morphologically with the lectotype. The following data refer to variation in three specimens (MNHN 1989.3591-3592, 1989.3594). Webbing formula I (1-1<sup>-</sup>)-(1<sup>-</sup>-2) II (1-1<sup>-</sup>)-(2-2<sup>-</sup>) III 1<sup>-</sup>-(2-3) IV (2-3<sup>-</sup>)-(1-1<sup>-</sup>) V. Webbing formula according to the notation of Blommers-Schlösser (1979): 1(0-0.25), 2i(0.5-1), 2e(0-0.5), 3i(1-1.5), 3e(0.25), 4i(1-2), 4e(1-1.75), 5(0-0.5). Toe 5 of same length as toe 3. Foot longer than tibia. Tibiotarsal articulation reaches posterior eye margin in MNHN 1989.3591 and 3594, tympanum in MNHN 1972.3592.

The prominent bilobed subarticular tubercles on the fingers are visible in all specimens. Femoral glands are not clearly recognizable in any paralectotype, probably due to their poor state of preservation.

**Additional material examined.** ZFMK 57416 (Cuvette Boby, Andringitra, collected by F. Glaw and M. Vences on 18 January 1994) and 26 specimens from the MNHN, all collected by C. P. Blanc and co-workers

during their 1970/71 expedition to the Andringitra massif: MNHN 1972.1181 (Cuvette Boby, 28.11.1970), 1182-3 (Marositry), 1184-5 (Andohabatomana, Varavarana, ruisseau, 18.11.1970), 1186 (Plateau Andohariana, riv. Riambavy, ca. 2030 m altitude, 6.12.1970), 1189 (Cuvette Boby, 25.11.1970), 1190 (Ibory face ouest, 17.12.1970), 1191 (Cuvette Boby), 1192 (Ibory face ouest, 25.11.1970), 1193-5 (Cuvette Boby), 1196-7 (Ibory face nord, ruisseau, 16.12.1970), 1198-9 (Antsifotra, 4.12.1970), 1200-1 (plateau Andohariana, riv. Riambavy, 2.12.1970), 1202-3 (Anjavidilava, 9.1.1971), 1204-5 (Ibory face sud, 16.12.1970), 1206 (Cirque Boby, 26.11.1970). MNHN 1972.1187 (Ibory, 16.12.1970) and 1188 (Ivangomena) were not examined; in the MNHN catalogue, they are provided with the comment "échangé Duellman 1977" and almost certainly they correspond to the specimens KU 173060-61 (L. Trueb, pers. comm.).

All examined specimens correspond well with the type series. Femoral glands (a small, rudimentary structure with median depression and additional irregular structures towards the anal region) are recognizable in ZFMK 57416 (probably a female), but are not evident in MNHN specimens, probably due to poor fixation and preservation.

**Distribution.** Locality coordinates and altitudes are given according to Paulian *et al.* (1971) and Goodman (1996a,b). The species is only known from the Andringitra massif and was found at 9-10 localities between ca. 1500 and 2500 m altitude (most localities higher than 2000 m): (1) Cirque Boby, 2520 m (see Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc 1991: 254), probably 22°11'S/46°53'E; (2) Cuvette Boby, ca. 2470 m, 22°11'S/46°53'E; (3) Andohariana plateau, ca. 2030 m, 22°09'S/46°54'E; (4) Anjavidilava, 1800-2100 m, 22°09'S/46°57'E; (5) Marositry, ca. 2000 m, 22°10'S/46°56'E; (6) Varavarana 1500-1850 m, 22°08'S/46°57'E; (7) Antsifotra, ca. 2000 m, 22°10'S/46°56'E (altitudes and coordinates referring to Antsifotra River); (8) Ivangomena, 2100-2500 m, 22°09'S/46°53'E; (9) Ibory (north, west and south slope), probably referring to Pic Bory, up to 2630 m, 22°12'S/46°55'E; possibly also (10) Ambalamarovandana, altitude ca. 1530 m, 22°08'S/46°57'E (locality in Blommers-Schlösser & Blanc 1991, possibly referring to a personal observation of C. P. Blanc).

**Natural history.** MNHN 1989.3593 (SVL 31.3 mm) contained 10 very large (diameter 3.8 mm) uniformly yellowish oocytes. MNHN 1972.1206 (SVL 31.4 mm) contained 28 yellowish to light brown oocytes of 2-2.3 mm diameter. We found two specimens in a stagnant tributary of a brook, surrounded by ericoid vegetation (Glaw & Vences, 1994). Specimens were sitting in or near water, and readily dived when disturbed.

MANTIDACTYLUS PAULIANI GUIBÉ, 1974

**Diagnosis.** A species of the genus *Mantidactylus* as indicated by the lack of nuptial pads in males and the presence of femoral glands. A member of the subgenus




FIG. 5. Femoral gland of *Mantidactylus pauliani* (MNHN 1972.1514, male) in internal view (on the underside of ventral femur skin after dissection). Scale = 1 mm.

*Brygoomantis* as indicated by (a) sexual dimorphism in tympanum size (males having a relatively slightly larger tympanum than females); (b) only slightly enlarged finger and toe discs; (c) well developed toe webbing; and (d) presence of femoral glands including a prominent rounded structure with external median depression, and of rudimentary femoral glands in females.

*M. pauliani* is distinguished from all other *Mantidactylus* except *M. madecassus* by the unique head shape, with a broadly rounded, very short snout; from other species of the subgenus *Brygoomantis* except *M. madecassus* by the lack of vomerine teeth; and, additionally, from *M. hetsileanus*, *M. biporus* and *M. alutus* by the great extent of the foot webbing. *M. pauliani* is distinguished from *M. madecassus* by the single and indistinct subarticular tubercles on the fingers, by the great extent of the webbing between the toes (webbing extending onto disc of fifth toe), and by a less distinct dorsal pattern.

**Name bearing type.** Holotype MNHN 1972.1508 (Fig. 4). Collected by C. P. Blanc at Nosiarivo, Ankaratra massif. Adult male in excellent state of preservation. Dorsal colour uniformly dark brown, with a few light elements on femur resulting in slight crossband pattern. Ventrally uniformly cream with a slight fading from ventral to dorsal colour along the flanks. Finger tips barely enlarged. Greyish outer metatarsal tubercle present, rather large and distinct, slim (2.2 x 0.9 mm).

Webbing formula I 1-1<sup>-</sup> II 1-2 III 1<sup>-</sup>-2 IV 1<sup>-</sup>-0<sup>-</sup> V. Webbing formula according to the notation of Blommers-Schlösser (1979): 1(0), 2i(0.5), 2e(0), 3i(1), 3e(0.25), 4i(1), 4e(0.25), 5(0). Toe 5 of same length as toe 3. Foot longer than tibia. Tibiotarsal articulation reaches the tympanum.

Femoral glands present, consisting of one larger, rounded externally prominent structure with a median depression, and irregular, less prominent groups of granules proximally. A rosette-like structure of several of these small granule groups is recognizable.




FIG. 6. Femoral gland of *Mantidactylus pauliani*, MNHN 1972.1511, female, in internal view (on the underside of ventral femur skin after dissection). Scale = 1 mm.

**Other types.** Eight paratypes. Four males (MNHN 1972.1509, 1972.1512, 1972.1514, 1972.1516), three females (MNHN 1972.1510, 1972.1511, 1972.1515), and one possibly subadult male (MNHN 1972.1513). MNHN 1972.1509-1513 with the same collecting dates and locality as the holotype; MNHN 1972.1514-1516 collected by C. P. Blanc at Betay forest, Ankaratra massif. All in excellent state of preservation. Dorsal colour rather uniform, but lighter with large dark brown markings in a few specimens (e.g. MNHN 1972.1509).

The following data refer to variation in three paratype specimens (MNHN 1972.1510, 1972.1514-1515). Webbing formula I 1-1<sup>-</sup> II 1-2 III 1<sup>-</sup>-2 IV (1<sup>-</sup>-2)-0<sup>-</sup> V. Webbing formula according to the notation of Blommers-Schlösser (1979): 1(0), 2i(0.5), 2e(0), 3i(1), 3e(0.25-0.5), 4i(1), 4e(0.25-1), 5(0). Toe 5 of same length as toe 3. Foot longer than tibia. Tibiotarsal articulation reaches the posterior eye margin in MNHN 1972.1514, the tympanum in the other two specimens.

Femoral glands are visible in all specimens, also in females (although clearly smaller). The gland section with external median depression is small in the males as compared with other *Brygoomantis*. The smaller, proximal groups of granules are also present in females, but rosette-like structures are less distinct in most females. It is not easy to distinguish externally between male and female glands. Females are therefore only tentatively sexed except MNHN 1972.1511 which was dissected (see below).

After dissection of one male (MNHN 1972.1514), it could be recognized that the gland section externally showing the median depression consists of two median granules surrounded circularly by seven slightly smaller granules, and the proximal smaller irregular structures are groups of several much smaller granules (Fig. 5). In a female (MNHN 1972.1511), the rudimentary median depression section was a central granule surrounded by seven granules of similar size, and the proximal irregular groups of granules were smaller and more widely spaced (Fig. 6).

